App. F. IX-4

1930.

File No. 194-30

Serial Nos. 1-6

Previous References

Mang 58-25-3-4-1-2
265-21-33-51 & 5-8, 10, 12-20 & 24
22-24 & 25-28 all serials

Later References

Mang 194-30-1-8

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for January 1931

SUBJECT.

Filing of a written statement before the District Judge of Mangalore re the management of the affairs of the Sri Subrahmanya temple at Kukke in the South Canara District

---

Despatched Date

13.1.31

To the Mang. Comr

The draft statement is approved & may be filed in person by one M. Venkataramaiah

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 194 of 1930.

Serial No.	
1	Letter No. 705/30-31 dated the 8th/15th Nov. 1930 from the Inspector General forwarding the draft of a scheme ~~sanctioned~~ by the Subordinate Judge of Mangalore for the management of the affairs of the Sri Subrahmanyeswara Temple at Kukke village in South Canara which has been filed before the Dt. Judge of Mangalore and requesting that the draft of a modified Statement to be filed by Mr. Maleti Venkataramiah the Mysore Representative on the Committee of management of the temple, may be forwarded at the earliest.
	I solicit for orders with the previous papers on the subject which have been duly flagged. If approved the file may ~~kindly~~ be sent to the Legal Remembrancer for kindly putting up the draft of the modified statement required to be filed

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 0255—GPB—50,000—8 7 30

14/11/30 Law Secy) 14.11.30

2 This is a scheme suit filed in the District Judge's Court at South Canara for a new scheme being framed for the proper management of the Subramanya temple at Subramanya, South Canara - Mr Malati Venkataramiah, who is a trustee on behalf of the Mysore Durbar has been, it is said, cited as a defendant in the case - The Mur Govt states that the proposed scheme provides for appointment of a mysorean as trustee, as of right, by the Durbar & that any written statement that Mr Malati Venkataramiah has to file should be ~~to~~ in support of the plaint - The Mur Govt also wants a draft statement to be prepared & sent to him - It appears to be better to engage a local lawyer at Mangalore & have a draft statement prepared by him -

144
Law

Legal Asst

DRP
15/4

3 17 - Sums to be the proper course to be adopted.

OFFICE OF THE
HON'BLE MEMBER OF COUNCIL
18 NOV. 1930
No 5046

Mur Branch)

DRP
17/11

DRP
17/4

Draft of a letter as at A is submitted for kind perusal before issue

MST 18/11/30

18.11.30 18/4

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 196 of 1930.

Serial No.	
2	Letter No 1133 D/18.11.30 to the Inspector General requesting that a local lawyer at Mangalore may be engaged and a draft statement for Government filed.
	Sent D/ 19.11.30
3	Letter dated 21st Nov 1930 from Mr Medali Venkatasubbaiah, Sarkleshpur, requesting to be furnished with a draft of the statement to be filed by him in the Court of the District Judge of Mangalore in respect the appeal preferred in that Court praying for the modification of the orders of the Subordinate Judge of Mangalore in the Selvam suit for the management of the affairs of the Sri Kukke Subramanya Temple Putter Dk. S. Canara
	Law Secy's remark on the P.U.D. "How can he convey any correspondence"
11	(20) R M 24.4

Noti—Contn Sheet

WD 02553—G P B—50 000—8 7 30

Re orders of the Secretary on the P.O.D:- It is submitted that Mr Venkataramiah is the non-official ~~Chairman~~ Member appointed on the Board of management of the Sri Subrahmanya Swamy Temple to guard the interests of the mysore Govt and see to the proper administration of the funds of this Govt to the institution & carry out the orders of the Subordinate Judge of Mangalore ~~The Board of management does~~ work & sanction & scheme for the working of the temple no place was provided for a representative of this Govt on the Board of management. At the instance of this Govt, the Govt of Madras have preferred an application in the Dt Court of Mangalore asking for a modification of the orders of the Subordinate Judge and Mr Malali Venkatapa ramiah has been cited as a defendant in the ~~Case~~ petition.

General and Revenue Secretariat — Enq. File No. 146 of 1930.

Serial No.	
	and he has therefore to file a written statement upon the need for the appointment of a proper representative on the Board of Management of the temple. The D.C. had made a reference in the matter in his letter dated
Sl 1 on file	16th/18th Nov 1929 and as
	per orders of the Law Secy the first member at every
Sl 2 on file	sitting of the Board of Trustees the D.C. was informed that a local lawyer might be engaged & a draft written statement got prepared by him and submitted to Govt for appl.
	It perhaps this order of Govt has not yet been made known to Mr Venkata ramanah & hence his present letter to Govt.
	It would, a copy of this office letter to the D.C. will be sent to Mr Venkata ramiah for information & necessary further action.
	Sd/ Illegible for Comptroller
	Ref. Law Secy's query in P.VD
	Sd/ Illegible Law Secy
	25/11/30

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 02328—GPB—10,000—22-4-30

25

Serial No

12 Mark information from V. Agra that the party concerned is M.B. who has already been replied in the matter

D.M. 25/11 VB 26.11.30

13 D/- Subordinate Chief Engineer C.E. 26/11/30 to Issue VB 26.11.30

Letter No 1219 D/ 27.11.30 to Asst Venkataramanaya requesting him to address the C.E. who has already been instructed in the matter

Sen AF 28/11

Surveyor Commissioner No 205/30-31 dated the 5th/6th December 1930 forwarding for information the details of the written statement to be filed by Asst Venkataramanaiah in Suit O.S. No 1 of 1930 on the file of the Sub Court of South Canara, handed by the Board of

Note–Contn Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 191, of 1930

Serial No.

Commissioner for Hindu Religious Endowments Madras.

Refee:- Orders at para 3 of the Notes supra if approved, the file may be submitted to the Legal Remembrancer for Hindu Juvenile Opinion in the matter. Submitted for orders

C.S. Iyer
8/12/30. H. Secy

8.12.30

181/Law

L.R. To L. R with Compliments. In necessary Opinion

26/12/30

26/12

17 It is true as pointed out in the P.W.D that it is unnecessary to engage a Vakil at Mangalore to Contest the Case - But a Vakil is to be engaged to file the W.S. & to watch the case in the interests of govt - Mr. Malati Venkataramiah Can, it is hoped, find out a local lawyer for the purpose.

Financial Secy (L.R. on leave).

29/12

R.O. No 5-0 201, 1870 Mysore Commissioner in C Compliments - he knows

Note—Contn. Sheet

a further remarks.

WD 02328—GPB—10,000—22-4-30

30/12/30

Serial No.

returned the conditions

I have read over again to the position of our representative in this scheme suit filed in the H.C. Board before the District Judge. It has already been explained to Government that the H.C. Board has instituted this suit mainly at our instance in order to give place by right to our representative in the Board of Trustees. Our representative has now been nominated on the Board of trustees of the temple. But he is not there in

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 194 of 1930.

Serial No.

705/30-31 W.G. File



Returned with compliments:-

19 I may be permitted to refer again to the position of our representative in this scheme suit filed by the R.E. Board before the District Judge. It has already been explained to Government that the R.E. Board has instituted this suit mainly at our instance in order to give a place by right to our representative in the Board of Trustees. Our representative has now been nominated on the Board of trustees of the temple. But he is not there in pursuance of a recognised right of the Mysore Government to have as a trustee its nominee and this is proposed to be remedied by this scheme suit. Our representative is therefore only a pro-forma defendant. He may practically be ---- considered as a collusive defendant, as the ----- interests of the plaintiff and of this defendant in respect of this particular issue are identical. The R.E. Board which has included this issue in the suit scheme at the instance of our representation to the Madras Government is as much interested in succeeding in this issue as we are and may be reasonably expected to take the necessary steps therefor. The presenting of a written statement as per draft statement submitted for ~~xxxx~~ scrutiny and approval by Mr. M. Venkataramiah appears therefore to be --- sufficient, so far as action on the part of the ----- the Government in this suit is concerned. It does not appear necessary for us to engage a lawyer to --

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 02563—G P B—50,000—8 7 30

watch

534

Inwards File No. 194 of 193 O. | General and Revenue Secretariat

watch the case on our behalf which is likely to involve a fair amount of unnecessary expenditure to a charitable institution which it is desirable to avoid, if possible.

I request that Government may be pleased to have the draft statement scrutinised and approved.

199
Law

Bangalore
10/1.31
ABS

Yuvarasiccga Rao
Muzrai Commissioner
In Charge.

21 To L.R with Compliments,

10-1-31

22 The Draft Statement, so far as it goes, is in order & may be approved - But it is not clear how the Muzrai proposes to have it filed in Court without engaging a lawyer. It cannot be sent by post. Either Mr. M. Venkataramiah has himself to go to the Court & present the Statement or a lawyer has to be engaged atleast for that purpose -

Chief Secy.

12/1/31

23 The Muzrai Commissioner may be informed that the written Statement is approved and that it may be filed in person by Mr V

12/1/31

CHIEF SECRETARY
12 JAN. 1931

13/1/31

Financial Secy)

13/1/31 with immediate

13/1/31

ABS Murp

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 1961 of 1930.

Serial No.	
	25 Draft Sales for appl Issue and CS 1821 1-3-31 Submit — VS 13-1-31
	27 Before issue, this was personally subs to Sir Sec and received over mail at the issue. S.S. Hill
6	Letter No 1524 D/13.1.31 to the Deputy Commr advising of the Met weather statement and requesting that it might be got presented by Mr Dr. Venkata ramiah personally.
14.1.31.	

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

M. C. 13] OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,..... .... 193 .

No. 705 Ewl file
30-31

FROM

Rajakaryjapravina

P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



To

Muz: 194-30-1

SIR,

[illegible] 33-29-4 [illegible] of [illegible] of the [illegible] copy of [illegible] No. [illegible] with its enclosure [illegible] recommending [illegible] Ramaiya [illegible] South [illegible] endorsements, [illegible].

3. The [illegible] is no [illegible] for [illegible] occasion. [illegible]

T 5 (f schedule to t joint, the board h mad request - that in the scheme of future [illegible] one of the five ---- trustees should be a representative of the Sore Darbar and should not remain vacant. I [illegible] succeed in the case, the Government will have [illegible] on the committee, nor is the scheme so in operation does not provide compulsorily for the appointment of [illegible] of the Government in the court. The present no [illegible] Venkataramaraju is to cease shortly by ----- permission. [illegible] statement to be filed by the Government's representatives should therefore necessarily support the joint. Sir. Challa Venkataramaraju has been cited as a Defendant in the suit, I am of opinion that he may be permitted to file a written statement according to the scheme set forth in the joint s[illegible] as advocate representation on the committee is concerned and setting that, as regards other matters, Government have no interest in them. If the Government approve of the above views, I request that draft of a written ----- statement to be filed in the court may be kindly framed, and sent early.

Flag J
S2010676-71
Rev338-29-4 DT
19-9-1929.
7D

The favour of early orders is solicited.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[signature]

[illegible] Commissioner.

mh

Letter No. un.F.A.97-30 dated 28th October 1930 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kassan District to the Amzrui Commissioner in Mysore.

Re: the filing of written statement by Mr. Lalali Venkataramaiya of Bakleapur, Trustee of Sri Subbe Subramanyaswami temple at Subramanya in the South Kanara district before the Court of District Judge of South Kanara in O/S No.1 of 1930.

In forwarding herewith a copy of letter No.252 dated 27th 28th October 1930 received from the Government Advocate in Mysore, Bangalore on the above subject, I have the honor to state as follows:-

On an application received from Mr. Lalali Venkataramaiya of Bakleapur, one of the Trustees on behalf of Mysore Government in the Mukke Sri Subramanyaswami temple ~~of~~ to be heard, in the South Kanara District, praying for permission ~~of~~ to file a written statement before the Court of District Judge of South Kanara in O.S.No.1 of 1930 in which the Plaintiff (the Board of Commissioners for Hindu Religious Endowments, Madras by its Secretary Mr. C.S. Swaminathan) has prayed that the scheme prepared in O.S. No.74/23 in the file of the Sub Court of South Kanara may be modified and a new scheme submitted to the Court along with the plaint be approved etc., a reference was made to the Government Advocate in No. U.O. F.A.97-30 dated 17th October 1930 requesting to let me know, if the interests of the Mysore Government will in any way be affected in case the suit is disposed of in favour of the plaintiff and if any written statement is necessary to be filed on behalf of this Government through the Trustee Mr. Lalali Venkataramaiya,. In reply to the above letter, he has stated that this is a matter for opinion and a reference has to be made to the Secretary to Government Law Department, under Rule 5 of the Rules for the conduct of Legal Affairs of Government.

In the above circumstances, I request you to be so good as to kindly address the Secretary to Government, Law Department in the matter of filing written statement by the Trustees Mr. Lalali Venkataramaiya at an early date.

The Trustee has been asked to apply to the Court to allow him some time to file the written state-

[illegible] application received for [illegible] materials [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Letter No.253 dated the 27th/28th October 1930 from the Government Advocate in Bangalore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Kasaba District

---

With reference to your letter No.A.107-30 (1) dated the 15th October 1930, re: application of Palali Venkataramanaiah of Kuthsur, Trustee of Sri Rukke Subrahmanya Swami temple at Subrahmanya, I have the honor to state that according to Rule 5 of the ~~xxxx~~ Rules for the conduct of legal affairs of Government, vide Rules appended in G.O.No. .4166-5045/Dts.70-23-1, dated the 16th/19th March 1924, as modified by G.O.No. .4400-65/Dts. 19-24-5, dated the 16th February 1925, all matters for opinion have to be referred to the Secretary to Government, Law Department, and not to this office. Under the circumstances, the enclosures to your letter under reference are herewith returned, for necessary action being taken in accordance with Rules.

"Copy"

For Huzrai Commissioner.

1133

Mis 194

18th Nov. 1930.

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Scheme for the management of the Kukke Sri Subrahmanyaswami temple ~~at Subrahmanya~~.

With reference to your letter No. 705 of 1930-31 dated 8th November 1930 in the matter of the filing by Mr. Malali Venkataramaya of a written statement in the Court of the District Judge of South Canara, I am directed to state that a local lawyer at Mangalore may be engaged and a draft written statement got prepared by him and submitted to Government for approval.

The enclosures to your letter are returned herewith

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
V Subrahmanyasastri
Draft. Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 18/11/30.

Signed by V. S. Sastri

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 1133 Encl one file

To

The Hindu Religious Endowments Board

Sir,

Scheme for the management of the Kukke Sri Subrahmanya temple.

With reference to your letter No. 705/30-31 dated 8th Nov. 1930 in the matter of the filing by Rao Bahadur Venkataramaya ~~as a member of the Board~~ of a written Statement in ~~lieu~~ the Court of the District Judge of South Kanara, I am directed to state that a local lawyer is to manage the

[over

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

may be engaged and
with
a draft Statement got
prepared by him and
submitted to Government
for approval

The enclosures to your letter
are returned herewith.

J Lavate

18.11.30

for Secy to Govt
Law Deptt.

ALALY VENKATARAMAIYA
SALEMPUR

To,

P. Kodandarama Rao, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government
Law Department, Bangalore

Surg 196-30

Sir,

I have the honour to enclose herewith a copy of a letter addressed by the Deputy Commissioner, [illegible] District to the Muzrai Commissioner and I am trust the latter Officer would have addressed you in the matter by now.

In accordance with instructions from the Deputy Commissioner, I have prayed the Court to grant an adjournment to enable me to file statements on behalf of the Government of Mysore.

I request you to be so good as to issue me early instructions in the matter very early and to send me, if possible, a draft of the statement that the Government might desire me to file on their behalf.

A copy of the statement submitted to the Court by the other defendants in the case which I sent to the Deputy Commissioner might also be in the file and will be sent to you kind notice. I believe that such a [illegible] reasonable.

See Subramanya Pillai to S K

The reply may kindly be sent to me [illegible]

Sir
Your most obedient

Letter No. Muz.P.R.97-30, dated 29-10-30, from the Joint Court [illegible], Hassan District, to the Muzrai Commissioner [illegible].

Subject:- Filing of written statement by Mr. Malali [illegible] of Saklaspur, Trustee of Sri Kukke Subramanyaswami Temple [illegible] in the South Canara District, before the Court of the District Judge of South Canara, in O.S. No. 1 of 1930.

In forwarding herewith a copy of letter No. 656, dated 27th/28th October 1930, received from the Government Advocate in [illegible], having reference to the above subject, I have the honour to state as follows:-

On an application from Mr. Malali Venkataramaiya, one of the Trustees on behalf of the Mysore Government in the matter of the Subramanyaswami Temple at Subrahmanya, in the South Canara District praying for permission to file a written statement before the Court of the District Judge of South Canara in O.S.No. 1 of 1930, in which the said Trustee, (the Board of Commissioners for Hindu Religious Endowments, rather, by the Secretary Mr. C.S.Warinhalikar) has prayed that the scheme regarding in O.S. No. 74/23, on the file of the Sub Court at Kundapur, may be modified and a new scheme submitted to the Court along with the plaint, be applied, etc., a reference was made to the Government Advocate, in Fo. Muz. P.R. 97-30, dated 17th October 1930, requesting to let me know, if the interests of the Mysore Government will in any way be affected in case the suit is disposed of in favour of the plaintiff, and if any written statement is necessary to be filed on behalf of this Government through the Trustee, Mr. Malali Venkataramaiya. In reply to the above letter, he has stated that this is a matter of opinion, and a reference has to be made to the Secretary to Government, Law Department, under Rule 5 of the Rules for the Conduct of Legal Affairs of Government.

In the above circumstances, I request you to be so good as to address the Secretary to Government, Law Department, in the matter of filing written statement by the Trustee, Mr. Malali Venkataramaiya at an early date.

The Trustee has been asked to apply to the Court to allow him time to file the written statement.

The application received from Mr. Malali Venkataramaiya and the copy of the plaint in O.S. No.1 of 1930, on the file of the District Judge of South Canara, together with a copy of the written statement already filed before the said Court by the 1st Defendant are herein enclosed for perusal and kind return when no longer required.

No. P.R.97/30/Muz.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Sub-division Officer, Saklaspur for information and communication to Mr. Malali Venkataramaiya.

Sd. [illegible]
for Dy.Commr. Hassan Dt.

No. A.I.Sl.3666-30/Muz.

Hero.

Copy to Mr. Malali Venkataramaiya, Saklaspur for information.

Sd. P.Srujanalayara Setti,
Assistant Commissioner,
Saklaspur Sub Division.
1-11-30

"Copy"

1219

Mag 194-30 4

27th Nov. 1930

Financial xxx

Mulali Venkataramiah, Esq.,
Sri Kukke Subrahmanyaswami temple,
Puttur Taluk, South Canara District.

With reference to your letter dated the 21st November 1930 requesting to be furnished with a draft of the written statement to be filed by you in the District Court at Mangalore in the suit filed in that Court asking for the modification of the scheme sanctioned by the Subordinate Judge, Mangalore, for the management of the affairs of the Sri Kukke Subrahmanyaswami temple, I am directed to request you to kindly address the Muzrai Commissioner who has already been instructed in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- A.N. Raghavachar,
Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

P.T.O.

Memo No. 1220 dated, Bangalore, the 27th Nov. 1930

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner for information in continuation of this office letter No. 1133 dated 18th November 1930.

By order,

Sd/ V Subrahmanyasastry

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Erumpai

File No. 194-30

Serial No. 4

No. 1219

Diary No. of letter replied to 753

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Erumpai)

the *193* .

Date of issue 27/11/30

Signed by Mr V. S Sastri

To

Inamdar Venkataramaniah Esqre

Sri Kalike Srinivasamaya

Swamy Temple, Pandita-

Taluk, S. Canara Dt

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 26th Nov. 1930 requesting to be furnished with a ~~the~~ draft of the written statement to be filed by You in the D.C. Court at Mangalore in the suit filed in that Court [illegible] over [illegible] asking

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

for the modification
of the scheme sanctioned
by the Subordinate
Judge, ~~[illegible]~~ Mangalore,
for the management
of the affairs of the
Sri Kukke Subrahmanya
Swamy temple I am
directed to ~~[illegible]~~ request you to
Kindly
~~[illegible]~~ address
the Amzad Comm
who has already been
instructed in the matter

D. Ramesh
26.11.30
for Secy to Govt

26/11/30

Endorsement 1220 of 27-11-30
Copy to Mr. C. for
information in continuation of
this Office letter no. 1133 of 18.11.30

26.11.30
for Secy to Govt

26/11/30.

No. 705 Ex 2/34 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
330-37

Dated Bangalore, 5th December 1930.

6

From

S. P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

MJ 194-30-5

To

The Secretary to the Government of His

Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Financial Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1133/Muz.194-30-2 dated 18th November 1930, I have the honor to forward herewith a draft Written Statement to be filed by Mr. Malali Venkataramiah of Sakalespur in the suit (O.S.No.1 of 1930 on the file of the District Court, South Canara) instituted by the Board of Commissioners for Hindu Religious Endowments, Madras, prepared in this office for favour of scruitny by the Legal Remembrancer and ----- approval by Government.

As the suit has been launched by the Board after consider-ing the several representations made by the Mysore Durbar to the Government of Madras to the effect that provision should be made for a representative of the Government of Mysore being appointed as one of the Trustees of the Board of Management and as the Board have, in their draft scheme submitted to the Court, provided for such a representation, I consider that it is not necessary to engage a Vakil at Bangalore and contest the suit. Moreover, we have no local knowledge or facilities to select a competent Vakil whose services could be employed and to fix the remuneration to be paid to him.

I solicit the favour of very early orders in the matter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. Narasimha Ayyangar

for Muzrai Commissioner.

HL (Absent from Hd Quarters)

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Tanjore

File No. 194-30

Serial No. 6

No. 1566. Encl file

Diary No. of letter replied to.....834.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Tanjore)
the *193* .

To

The Tanjore Collr
ex Tanjore, Bangalore.

Date of issue.....13/1/31.....

Signed by.....V. S. Sastri.....

Sir

With reference
to the correspondence
ending with your
unofficial note
No 705/30-31 dated
the 10th January 31,
I have the honour to
submit herewith the District
Index of Manpalam

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

[over

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

in the scheme suit
filed by the R.C. Board
of Madras ~~in connection~~
with the management
of the affairs of the
Sri Subrahmanya
~~swamy~~ temple at Kukke
in the South Canara
District I am directed
to state that the draft
amended statement
forwarded by you is
approved and that
it may be filed
in person by Mr
M. Venkatasubbiah

The draft statement
received with your
letter dated 5th/6th
Dec^r 1930 is enclosed
herewith along with the other Endorsements

Memo no 13 GS 3/3 1/31
Copy to Comptr
for information
Orgadec
13.1.31
for Secy to Govt

Secy
13.1.31
for Secy to Govt

for JL
Clk
13/1/31

Clk
13/1/31

IMMEDIATE.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT,

Bangalore, Dated 13th January 1931.

No. 1566
Mug 196

From

Rajasevaparayana
B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your un-official Note No. 705 of 1930-31 dated the 10th January 1931 about the filing of a written statement before the District Judge of Mangalore in the scheme suit filed by the Religious Endowment Board of Madras for the management of the affairs of the Sri Subrahmanya temple at Kukke in the South Canara District, I am directed to state that the draft written statement forwarded by you is approved and that it may be filed in person by Mr. M. Venkataramiah.

2 The draft statement received with your letter dated the 5th/6th December 1930 is returned herewith along with the other enclosures.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- B. Srinivasa Iyengar,
Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Memo No 1565 dated, Bangalore, the 13th January 1931.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, for information.

By order,

C.R.G.3.

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.